1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9		NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10		SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
11	IRINA VERDIYAN,	No. C12-01841 EDL (LB)
12	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER REGARDING SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE
13		CONTERENCE
14	CAPITAL ONE, N.A.,	
15	Defendant.	
16		

The parties have a settlement conference set for Monday, September 9, 2013. The court requires a demand, a response, attorney's fees and costs to date and projected through trial, and the persons attending. *See* Order, ECF No. 55 at 4-5. Defendant's statement does not include its attorney's fees and costs or the persons attending, and it also does not provide a response to Plaintiff's demand. The court directs Defendant to email this missing information to the court today to <a href="mailto:lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov">lbpo@cand.uscourts.gov</a>. (With respect to the attorney's fees and costs to date and projected through trial, Defendant may let the court know confidentially.) If that time line is too ambitious for a response to Plaintiff's most recent demand, Defendant must make best efforts to submit the response to Plaintiff and the court as soon as possible and in any event in sufficient time before Monday's settlement conference for Plaintiff to digest it with counsel. If the holiday affects the timing of Defendant's response, that is fine, but this needs to be accomplished before Monday.

ORDER RE SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE C12-01841 EDL (LB)

## IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 5, 2013

LAUREL BEELER United States Magistrate Judge

For the Northern District of California